

CORRECTIONS

Cecen, A. A., & Erkal, C. (2008). Nonlinear dynamical modeling in ECG analysis: A heuristic guideline. *NDPLS 12(4)*, 359-369.

It is claimed on page 363 that smaller errors in RR result from error propagation when computing these intervals from ECG voltage data, which is not correct. Hence, we have two corrections.

1. The following section of the paper, including Eq. should be removed.

In addition, the propagated errors in RR signals are much smaller than those of the ECG series. This is due to the fact that errors σ_{RR} in the RR data scale with the errors in time σ_t as

$$\sigma_{RR} = \sigma_t \left(\frac{1}{t_i^2} + \frac{1}{t_{i+1}^2} \right)^{(1/2)} \quad (2)$$

2. In addition, in the next sentence, the phrase "with smaller errors" should be removed. The new sentence should be:

Consequently, the RR series represents relevant information on heart rate variability, whereas ECG voltage data appear to be fraught with strong quasi-periodic components and stronger autocorrelation.

By making the above-mentioned modifications, the results and the conclusions reached in the article do not change. We apologize for this oversight.

-- A.A.C. & E. C.

Ad Hoc Reviewers for 2008

The *NDPLS* Editorial Board sincerely thanks the following people who served as reviewers of manuscripts received in 2008.

Deborah J. Aks
James Bobrow
Donald G. Byrne
Didier DelignèPres
Simon Farrell
David Gilden
David Katerndahl
Tim Lee
Klaus Linkenkaer-Hansen
Mitchell Longstaff
Karl Newell
David Pincus
Michael Radin
Mark L. Rieke
Angelo Sabatini
Matthew Schlesinger
David Schuldberg
Nicolas Schweighofer
Richard Van Emmerik
David Schuldberg
Mark J. Shelhamer
Kjerstin Torre
Michalis Vourkas
Barbara Webb
Pier-Giorgio Zanone
Tobi Zausner